





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/22/2000	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  DALIT BARKAN	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  BARKAN=2	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/403,897 1444 7 BROWDY A 624 NINTH S	07/30/2002 ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER CANELLA, KAREN A	
CHITE 300	ON, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT  1642  DATE MAILED: 07/30/200	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/403,897

Applicant(s)

Barkan et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

mer Karen Can Ila Art Unit 1642

	Rateri Gail III			
TATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	TOOM TOOM			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX to involve to the second street.			
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2h\□ This acti	ion is non-tinal.			
2a) X This action is Final.	tor formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under 2x per				
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.			
l simple!	is/are pending in the application.  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  is/are allowed.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9, 29, and 35</u>	is/are rejected.			
5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9, 29, and 35</u> 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-8, 28, 30-34, and 36-39</u>	is/are objected to.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
8)  Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) accepted of 5/2 objects.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  is; a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl-				
declaration is objected to by the Example 1	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	phony s			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	vave heen received.			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h				
application from a list of	the certified copies not received.			
to the state of a claim for notices	stic priority and or			
<ul> <li>14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and 5. 12.			
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6) Other:			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				

Application/Control Number: 09/403,897

Art Unit: 1642

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 2-9 and 28-39 are pending and under consideration.
- The rejection of claims 2-8, 28, 30-34 and 36-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, 2. because the specification, while being enabling for leptin and leptin fusion proteins, does not reasonably provide enablement for leptin muteins, leptin receptor agonists, active fragments or fractions of anyone thereof, active analogs or derivatives of any thereof, and mixtures of any thereof as inhibitors of tumor cell proliferation is maintained for reasons of record. It has been stated in the original rejection of Paper No. 10, mailed February 28, 2001, that in order for the leptin to exert it effect of inhibiting the phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1, it must bind to the leptin receptor to activate JAK-2 ( see: Bjorbaek et al, J. of Biological Chemistry, 1997). The instant specification provides only examples and guidance for the use of leptin as an inhibitor of the phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1. Although having an intact leptin protein fused to another protein would have a reasonable expectation of binding the leptin receptor and activating the JAK-2 in the same manner as leptin, one of skill in the art would not know what changes in the leptin sequence could be tolerated by the leptin receptor with respect to JAK-2 activation. Therefore, practice of this invention to the full scope of the claims would require undue experimentation to make and use substances other than leptin or leptin-fusion proteins. Further it is well known in the art that receptor antagonists need not share structural similarities. For instance, Maxadilan, a peptide derived from sand flies, is an agonist at the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/403,897

Art Unit: 1642

Thus it is not possible to predict the structural requirement necessary to both bind to the leptin receptor and activate JAK-2 in the same manner as leptin. Stating that the broadly claimed agonists and fragments have at least 60% identity to leptin is not a disclosure of how to alter the amino acid sequence of leptin in order to obtain muteins, fragments or agonists that would function as claimed. Muteins are defined on page 8 of the specification, active fragment are defined on page 14 and agonists on pages 14-15. However the specification relies only on general definitions, and does not teach one of skill in the art how to alter the amino acid sequence of leptin in order to obtain a mutein, active fragment or agonist that would function as claimed.

3. All other rejections and objections as stated in Paper No. 10 are withdrawn.

## Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 09/403,897

Art Unit: 1642

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Karen Canella whose telephone number is (703) 308-8362. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may

be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Group 1642

July 29, 2002

MEDLINE ANSWER 1 OF 1

MEDLINE 1999367433 ACCESSION NUMBER:

PubMed ID: 10438479

Functional characterization of structural alterations in DOCUMENT NUMBER: the sequence of the vasodilatory peptide maxadilan yields TITLE:

pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide type 1

receptor-specific antagonist.

Moro O; Wakita K; Ohnuma M; Denda S; Lerner E A; Tajima M AUTHOR:

Shiseido Research Center, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8553, CORPORATE SOURCE:

Japan.

RO1 AR42005 (NIAMS) CONTRACT NUMBER:

JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, (1999 Aug 13) SOURCE:

274 (33) 23103-10.

Journal code: 2985121R. ISSN: 0021-9258.

United States PUB. COUNTRY:

Journal; Article; (JOURNAL ARTICLE) DOCUMENT TYPE:

English LANGUAGE:

Priority Journals FILE SEGMENT:

199909 ENTRY MONTH:

Entered STN: 19990913 ENTRY DATE:

Last Updated on STN: 20000303

Entered Medline: 19990901

Maxadilan is a vasodilatory peptide derived from sand flies that is an agonist at the pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide (PACAP) AΒ

type

1 receptor. Surprisingly, maxadilan does not share significant sequence homology with PACAP. To examine the relationship between structure and activity of maxadilan, several amino acid substitutions and deletions

were

made in the peptide. These peptides were examined in vitro for binding to crude membranes derived from rabbit brain, a tissue that expresses PACAP type 1 receptors; and induction of cAMP was determined in PC12 cells, a line that expresses these receptors. The peptides were examined in vivo for their ability to induce erythema in rabbit skin. Substitution of the individual cysteines at positions 1 and 5 or deletion of this ring structure had little effect on activity. Substitution of either cysteine at position 14 or 51 eliminated activity. Deletion of the 19 amino acids between positions 24 and 42 resulted in a peptide with binding, but no functional activity. The capacity of this deletion mutant to interact

with

COS cells transfected with the PACAP type 1 receptor revealed that this peptide was a specific antagonist to the PACAP type 1 receptor.